#### California

The California Pink Line eHub serves Marshall Square and the greater Little Village community through various transformation initiatives. With the help of Latinos Progresando and the OPEN Center for the Arts, the eHub is working to implement walkability and streetscaping improvements, create artistic pathways and green spaces to connect Douglas Park to the Pink Line station, and promote health-focused programs and businesses. In addition, work focuses on creating a new community center in a former Chicago Public Library space that will be geared towards immigration, legal, and healthcare services for residents.

Data below represent the indicators and metrics that Elevated Chicago is monitoring as part of its work plan: http://www.elevatedchicago.org/our-work-plan/ [Data last updated: 7/31/2019]

Do you have questions or ideas about these measures? Contact the Elevated Chicago Knowledge Sharing Working Group at ksworkinggroup@gmail.com

# **Population**

	2000	2010	2017
Total Population	15,787	12,800	11,842
Race/Ethnicity			
Latinx		78.9%	77.7%
Black, not Latinx		15.3%	14.0%
Asian/Pacific Islander, not Latinx		1.3%	1.5%
White, not Latinx		4.6%	6.8%
Age			
Median Age		29.6 years	31.6 years
Children <18 years		26.9%	32.0%
Older Adults 65+ years		6.2%	7.9%
Housing			
Total Households		3,368	3,583
Rental Households		2,380	2,502
% Rental Households		70.7%	69.9%
Median Rent (2BR)		NA	\$811
Income			
Households below poverty level		34.1%	30.6%
Median Household Income (2017 dollars)		\$33,421	\$32,630



Indicator	Metric	Metric Definition	California	Chicago Overall	Data Source, Year
Increased collaboration and cohesion	Partners are now working together who don't usually, or haven't worked together before	Percentage of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee members who responded that they "strongly agree" that "As a result of SPARCC, partners are working together who don't usually, or haven't worked together before"	NA	50% strongly agree*	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018
	2. Neighborhood belonging: % of adults who report that they feel part of their neighborhood	Percentage of adults age 18+ who report that they strongly agree or agree with the statement: "I really feel part of my neighborhood"	60%	62%	Chicago Department of Public Health, Healthy Chicago Survey, 2015- 2017
	3. Community members are engaged as equal partners at the table	Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee members' responses to the statement: "Please rate the extent to which your table currently engages community members/residents as equal partners at the table, not just providing input, serving as advisors or helping to test ideas" (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)	NA	2.7 average score*	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018
	Community resident perspectives on how Elevated Chicago has impacted collaboration and cohesion	Metric in development	Data available 2020	NA	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2019
Increased participation in cultural programming	5. Number and demographics of participants in Elevated Chicago programs	Number and demographics of participants in Elevated Chicago funded programs	Data available 2020	Data available 2020*	Elevated Chicago Partner Reporting, 2019
Increased capacity for advocacy or to influence decision makers	6. Increased capacity of local leaders	Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee members' responses to the statement: "Please rate the extent to which your table is actively working to build the capacity of local leaders"  (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)	NA	2.8 average score*	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018
Increased ownership of homes, businesses, land and other assets	7. Home ownership among residents of color	Percentage of households occupied by residents of color that are owned (vs. rented)	33%	39%	US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017
	8. Retention of locally-owned community assets or businesses	Metric in development	Data available 2020	NA	DePaul Institute for Housing Studies, MAPSCorps

<sup>\*</sup> Data are for Elevated Chicago overall

Place

Indicator	Metric	Metric Definition	California	Chicago Overall	Data Source, Year
Improved health and economic equity outcomes for residents of color	9. Average housing and transportation costs as a percent of total income	Average total combined housing and transportation costs divided by total income	34%	43%	Center for Neighborhood Technology, Housing + Transportation (H+T) Affordability Index, 2016
	10. Employment rate among residents of color	Percent of civilian residents of color age 16 years or older in the labor force who are employed	90%	86%	US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017
	11. Earnings by residents of color per \$1 earned by white residents	Combined average median earnings among residents of color divided by median earnings among white residents	NA	\$0.49	US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017
	12. Life expectancy	Average number of years an indvidual is expected to live	80.7 years	77.2 years	Illinois Department of Public Health Death Certificate Files, 2017
	13. Experienced mental distress in the past month	Percentage of adults who reported psychological (mental) distress in the past 30 days, based on how often they felt nervous, hopeless, restless, depressed, worthless or that nothing could cheer them up	21%	17%	Chicago Department of Public Health, Healthy Chicago Survey, 2015- 2017
	14. eHub walkability	Walkability rating based on nearby amenities, parcel size, tree canopy coverage, block length, intersection density, block size, households, jobs and traffic crashes (A higher number indicates better walkability)	102	104**	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, 2016
Decreased displacement of people of color	15. Number of affordable housing units created	Number of affordable housing units created as a result of Elevated Chicago	Data available 2020	Data available 2020*	Elevated Chicago Partner Reporting, 2019
	16. Jobs accessible within 30 minute transit commute	Total jobs within a 30 minute transit trip	808,792	600,020 (avg TOD location)	Center for Neighborhood Technology, Social Impact Calculator
	17. Population change among residents of color	Change in population of residents of color between 2010 and 2017	-9.6%	-1.7%	US Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2017

<sup>\*</sup> Data are for Elevated Chicago overall; \*\* Average walk score for 1/4 mile areas around CTA stations

# **Place**

### **Elevated Chicago Metrics**

Indicator	Metric	Metric Definition	California	Chicago Overall	Data Source, Year
Decreased climate vulnerability in communities	18. Heat vulnerability	Metric in development	TBD	TBD	Chicago Department of Public Health
	19. Flood risk	Number of flood claims	223	NA	Center for Neighborhood Technology
	20. Ambient air quality	Average daytime particulate matter concentrations	Good to Moderate**	NA	MAPSCorps Youth Observation Study, Summer 2018
Increased access to and appreciation for transit access	21. Percentage of residents who view CTA station as a benefit to the community	Percentage of participants who responded "yes" to: "Do you consider the CTA station as a benefit to your community?"	97%	95%*	MAPSCorps Youth Intercept Survey, 2018
	22. Accessibility of CTA station	Percentage of observations during study period where station elevator is operating	NA	91%*	MAPSCorps Youth Observation Study, 2018
	23. Attractiveness of CTA station	Percentage of observations during study period where public art is present	NA	35%*	MAPSCorps Youth Observation Study, 2018
	24. Perceived safety at CTA station	Percentage of participants who responded "yes" to: "Do you feel safe using this CTA station?"	80%	77%*	MAPSCorps Youth Intercept Survey, 2018
	25. Average daily trips from CTA station	Average number of riders per day entering CTA station	1,214	3,584	Chicago Transit Authority, 2018
Increased cultural resiliency	26. Number of landmarks, symbols, cultural spaces and public art created in community	Metric in development	Data available 2020	Data available 2020*	Elevated Chicago Partner Reporting, 2019

<sup>\*</sup> Data are for Elevated Chicago overall; \*\* 1,190 air quality measurements were collected on 8/1/2018 during daytime hours. Longer data collection periods increase the likelihood that the reading is representative of the ambient air quality at this location.

# **Process**

# **Elevated Chicago Metrics**

Indicator	Metric	Metric Definition	California	Chicago Overall	Data Source, Year
More meaningful community engagement and ownership in built environment decisions	27. Community engagement and ownership in built environment decisions	Metric in development	Data available 2020	NA	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2019
More transparent decision making and implementation processes	28. Decision making and implementation processes are transparent	Metric in development	Data available 2020	NA	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2019
Adoption of racial equity principles and priorities across the full built environment decision-making system	29. Diversity, inclusion and equity are promoted in membership, process and outcomes	Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee member responses to the statement: "Please rate the extent to which your table currently promotes diversity, inclusion and equity in membership, process and outcomes."  (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)	NA	3.3 average score*	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018
Greater availability of capital for low income communities and communities of color	30. Disbursement of capital to low income communities and communities of color	Funding distributed by Elevated Chicago and SPARCC partners to Community Tables	Data available 2020	Data available 2020*	Elevated Chicago Reporting, 2019
Greater prioritization of affordability and retention in policies and practices	31. Prioritization of affordability and retention in policies and practices	Average score of Elevated Chicago Steering Committee member responses to the statement: "How impactful do you think Elevated Chicago has been so far in advancing its Workplan priority of elevating eTOD and resident/business retention in policy agendas?"  (1 = needs improvement, 2 = adequate, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding)	NA	2.1 average score*	SPARCC Evaluation Survey, 2018

<sup>\*</sup> Data are for Elevated Chicago overall